

Summary of Screening Procedures – Municipal Comparison

| Weapons Mitigation | | Description | Municipality | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|-----------|--|--------------|---------|----------|-------------|----------|--------|-------------|-----------|
| In Bags | On Person | | Saskatoon | Calgary | Edmonton | Mississauga | Montreal | Regina | Toronto | Vancouver |
| None | None | No Visitor Screening | ✓ | | | ✓ | | ✓ | | ✓ |
| High | None | Banning All Bags from Chambers | None | | | | | | | |
| Med | None | Banning Large Bags from Chambers | | ✓ | | | | | | ✓ |
| High | High | Banning All Liquids Harmful liquids/Container Projectiles | | ✓ | ✓ | | | | ✓ | |
| High | None | Visual Bag Inspection Similar to major sporting events, security staff visually inspect bags to ensure prohibited items are not admitted | | ✓ | ✓ | | ✓ | | ✓ | |
| High | High | Hand-Held Metal Detection Slower/more invasive than Walk-Through Metal Detection | | ✓ | ✓ | | ✓ | | In Progress | |
| High | High | Walk-Through Metal Detection Similar to Provincial Legislative buildings | | ✓ | ✓ | | | | In Progress | |
| Very High | Very High | Police Checkpoint Armed Police | | | | | ✓ | | | |