

From: [Jordan Cantwell](#)
To: [Web E-mail - Development Appeals Board](#)
Subject: Appeal # 8-2025
Date: Monday, March 17, 2025 1:55:06 PM

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

[Warning: This email originated outside our email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.]

To: The Development Appeals Board

I recently received a notice of an appeal by my neighbour, William Ian McPhadden, to a permit denial he received.

I am writing to support Mr. McPhadden's appeal of the Development Permit denial.

I live across the alley from Mr. McPhadden and am aware of the construction he has been doing on his house to add a suite and redevelop his garage.

My understanding is that the zoning bylaw that covers this property requires a minimum of .75 metres be retained for a side yard.

In this case, the East side yard that would be left after construction is complete would be .68 metres. Meaning that the difference between the zoning requirements and the actual finished construction is less than 3 inches.

I understand that regulations are in place for good reasons and are intended to ensure that new property developments do not impose hazards or infringe on common spaces.

I am frequently in our shared back alley and I can say with confidence that the additional 3 inches Mr. McPhadden's building will cover will have no discernable impact on his neighbours. There is ample clearance for folks using the alley to drive safely and avoid his property. It would be sad to see Mr. McPhadden have to change his development plans (which would undoubtedly be very expensive) over 3 inches that cause no trouble to anyone.

I urge you to please reconsider your denial of a development permit to Mr. McPhadden based on his current development plans.

Thank you.

Jordan Cantwell

[REDACTED] McKercher Drive, Saskatoon, [REDACTED]