

**Janzen, Heather**

---

**From:** City Council  
**Subject:** FW: Email - Communication - Kelly Pister - Provincial Approach to Homelessness - Timeframe for Emergency Residential Shelter – 210 Pacific Avenue - CK 4350-20 x 750-6

---

**From:** Web NoReply <web-noreply@Saskatoon.ca>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 23, 2024 8:49 AM  
**To:** City Council <City.Council@Saskatoon.ca>  
**Subject:** Email - Communication - Kelly Pister - Provincial Approach to Homelessness - Timeframe for Emergency Residential Shelter – 210 Pacific Avenue - CK 4350-20 x 750-6

--- Replies to this email will go to [REDACTED] ---

Submitted on Monday, September 23, 2024 - 08:44

Submitted by user: [REDACTED]

Submitted values are:

**I have read and understand the above statements.:** Yes

**I do not want my comments placed on a public agenda. They will be shared with members of Council through their online repository.:** No

**I only want my comments shared with the Mayor or my Ward Councillor.:** No

**Date:** Monday, September 23, 2024

**To:** His Worship the Mayor and Members of City Council

**First Name:** Kelly

**Last Name:** Pister

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**I live outside of Saskatoon:** No

**Saskatoon Address and Ward:**

**Address:** [REDACTED] 23rd st east

**Ward:** Not Sure

**What do you wish to do ?:** Submit Comments

**What meeting do you wish to speak/submit comments ? (if known)::** Proposed temporary shelter

**Comments:**

As area residents We attended the meeting at city hall on the night of sept-17 regarding the temporary shelter proposal. After listening to all the people and there concerns and the negative affects it would have on the

children, residents and businesses that thrive at this location, and how these businesses are so important to Saskatchewan mental health care. We were appalled at the lack of empathy and concern shown by these city employees. They're going to help 30 homeless people and damage the mental health care of hundreds of children. We know how important it is to help the homeless but in doing so not make it worse for others. The building across the street from the city police station that was pointed out by one of the people speaking seemed like a good location, They explained that it would be more costly to renovate but in comparison to the cost being put on the hundreds of vulnerable children and businesses it would effect and end up costing those people it doesn't seem like a fair trade off. Why were they were forgotten in the vetting process in the first place? Isn't this what they should be looking for? Also we are always puzzled as to why it's always a bandage solution that they come up with and not a permanent solution like building a large facility in the empty lot by the police station, if things get out of hand there right there, instead of taking the police to the problem take the problem to the police. We were right next to the last shelter they had on 1st Ave and it was a total disaster it seemed that there were more people outside than inside, that the city either had no control over of chose not to have control over, as an adult it was hard to walk by these people on the opposite side of the street, and this new one there going to force children to basically walk through them.

In closing, it always seems like this city always ruin everything that's nice and built to help people. Could you please do better if you become our new mayor and get rid of these people like the city manager that are really bad at there jobs.

Thank you

**Will you be submitting a video to be vetted prior to council meeting?: No**