Janzen, Heather

Subject: FW: Email - Communication - Ari Avivi - Loan for a New Organics Recycling Facility - CK 150-1

From: Web NoReply <<u>web-noreply@Saskatoon.ca</u>>
Sent: Thursday, May 23, 2024 1:18 PM
To: City Council <<u>City.Council@Saskatoon.ca</u>>
Subject: Email - Communication - Ari Avivi - Loan for a New Organics Recycling Facility - CK 150-1

--- Replies to this email will go to

Submitted on Thursday, May 23, 2024 - 13:17

Submitted by user:

Submitted values are:

I have read and understand the above statements.: Yes

I do not want my comments placed on a public agenda. They will be shared with members of Council through their online repository.: No

I only want my comments shared with the Mayor or my Ward Councillor .: No

Date: Thursday, May 23, 2024

To: His Worship the Mayor and Members of City Council

First Name: Ari

Last Name: Avivi

Phonetic spelling of first and/or last name:

Phone Number :

Email:

I live outside of Saskatoon: No

Saskatoon Address and Ward: Address: Cairns Ave Ward: Ward 7

What do you wish to do ?: Submit Comments

What agenda item do you wish to comment on ?: taking a loan for a new organics recycling facility.

Comments:

What is the rush for this city council to take on additional, un budgeted debt at the end of this government's

mandate?

With the 1/3 of the incumbent councillors having already declared their intention not to seek re-election and the possibility of that number climbing, It seems a responsible course of action to delay this discussion until council receives a new mandate, with a full slate of councillors

This outgoing council could be making a decision that won't have anyone to justify it to the electorate after November.

In addition, the upcoming election provides an opportunity for the electorate to share their opinion on this proposed out of budget spend. It will be a chance for the candidates to justify their positions for or against the spend and have the opportunity to be reflective of the needs of the citizenry.

So the question repeats. What is the rush? It is a cost issue? Do we believe that the costs six months from now will be exponentially higher? Is it a desire to leave a legacy as councillors end their term of service to the city? These are questions that should be answered for the public.

Please consider delaying this decision.

Also, should those councillors who are not going to be representing the city when this spend is actually being applied to the tax roles, consider recusing themselves from the decision?

Will you be submitting a video to be vetted prior to council meeting?: No