Janzen, Heather

From: City Council

Subject: FW: Email - Communication - Dylan Carr - Road Safety Audit - College Drive and Wiggins Avenue -

CK 6330-1 x 6000-5

From: Dylan Carr <

Sent: Friday, April 19, 2024 4:41 PM

To: City Council < City. Council@Saskatoon.ca>

Subject: Re: Email - Communication - Dylan Carr - Road Safety Audit - College Drive and Wiggins Avenue - CK 6330-1 x

6000-5

[Warning: This email originated outside our email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.]

Hi, my address is Osler St., Saskatoon SK

. Email is

Thank you.

On Apr 19, 2024, at 1:51 PM, City Council City.Council@Saskatoon.ca wrote:

Hi Dylan,

Thank you for your email.

It is City Council's policy that in order for a communication to be seen by City Council, the communication must include the address of the writer. Please provide the information at your earliest convenience, and your email will then be processed.

Marlee Slaney | tel 306.975.3240

City Clerk's Office City of Saskatoon | 222 3rd Avenue North | Saskatoon, SK S7K 0J5 Treaty 6 Territory & Homeland of the Métis

Pronouns: she/her/hers www.saskatoon.ca

If you receive this email in error, please do not review, distribute or copy the information. Please contact the sender and delete the message and any attachments.

From: Dylan Carr < Sent: Thursday, April 18, 2024 8:09 PM

To: Hill, Darren (City Councillor) < Darren. Hill@Saskatoon.ca>; Web E-mail - City Clerks

<City.Clerks@Saskatoon.ca>

Subject: Email - Communication - Dylan Carr - Road Safety Audit - College Drive and Wiggins Avenue -

CK 6330-1 x 6000-5

To Saskatoon City Council,

I want to live in a city that prioritizes the safety of active transportation users like Natasha Fox and Darin Kinniewess. Their deaths last year were a sobering reminder of how vulnerable I am as a user of Saskatoon streets, and I'd like to see as much done as possible to make my trips safer.

Councilor Block's June 2023 motions to address cycling issues were a good start. The move toward utilizing rapid deployment in new, adaptable infrastructure is something I support and the Road Safety Audit at College Drive and Wiggins Avenue is a subject close to my heart; it's where Natasha died.

I'm happy to see many of the CIMA+ consultant's recommendations (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 11, 12, 13, and 14) already being applied or supported by administration.

However, I am concerned that none of the cycling-specific recommendations (Numbers 8, 9, and 10) were supported by administration at this time. I understand that these three recommendations are complicated, but I would urge council to strive to implement numbers 9 and 10 as soon as administration can resolve the issues around transit negotiations and staffing resources.

In the meantime, I also support recommendation number 8 (a painted bike box and lane along Wiggins Avenue, with a "no turn on red" prohibition). While some of the reports' conclusions regarding this recommendation are valid (the physical space of the street may not lend itself to a perfect solution), the requiring of "driver adherence" or a supposed "false sense of safety" are not.

I do not believe that a heightened awareness of cyclists on this street could do anything but make it safer. Even if the finished infrastructure is not ideal in the eyes of administration, it could not be worse than the current configuration in which Natasha died. With concerted effort to keep the streets clear of debris, and signage highlighting the nature of the intersection, I believe

recommendation 8 could add greatly to the actual safety of cyclists and pedestrians.

Please reconsider the SPCT's defeat of recommendation 8 and help make College and Wiggins a little bit safer than it was exactly 11 months ago.

Thank you,

Dylan Carr