

**From:** [Bryant, Shellie](#)  
**To:** [Bogad, Christine](#)  
**Cc:** [Leuschen, Candice](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Marr Response: City of Saskatoon Governance Review - Albert Community Centre and Marr Residence Boards  
**Date:** Thursday, November 23, 2023 8:43:45 AM  
**Attachments:** [Governance Proposal.odt](#)

---

---

**From:** [REDACTED] >  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 22, 2023 8:57 PM  
**To:** Bryant, Shellie [REDACTED] >  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]; Lucas, Barb [REDACTED] >;  
[REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Marr Response: City of Saskatoon Governance Review - Albert Community Centre and Marr Residence Boards

[Warning: This email originated outside our email system. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.]

Hello Shellie Bryant,

Please find attached the Marr Residence board's response on the Governance document that was sent to us concerning the Albert Community Centre Management Committee and the Marr Residence Management Board.

These views were shared at our board meeting on September 12, 2023 and again on November 14, 2023. Resending email as no change from our earlier response to you on September 13, 2023.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact our Chairperson - Della Marshall or Recording Secretary - Barb Lucas.

submitted on behalf of:

Della Marshall - Chairperson  
Marr Residence Management Board  
Ph #: (306) [REDACTED]  
Email: [REDACTED]

Barb Lucas, Recording Secretary  
Marr Residence Management Board  
Ph #: (306) [REDACTED]  
Email: [REDACTED]

----- Original Message -----

Subject:

Date: Wed, 13 Sep 2023 18:18:44 -0600

From: Della Marshall <[REDACTED]>

To: '[REDACTED]' <[REDACTED]>

Cc: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>, Marr Residence

<[REDACTED]>

Shellie ,

Please find attached my views on the Governance document that was sent to me concerning the Albert Community Centre and the Marr Residence.

I presented my views on this document to my board at our meeting on September 12th..

If you have any questions please contact me

Della

Response to Governance Proposal  
with regards to the Albert Community Centre and  
The Marr Residence Board

After reviewing this document I feel that though we are both Heritage properties we are very different in our missions and mandates.

The Albert Community Centre mission is to provide low cost space for community run activities , non-for profit organizations and rental to organizations such as Albert Indoor Playground and Albert Child Care Co-Operative

The Albert Community Centre board members are responsible for upholding its mandate. These include but not limited to :

- : how the building is used , who can use or rent space ,
- : develop policies and procedures with regards to rental or use by other groups.
- : fees that will be charged

From my reading I do not see any indication that board members are expected to participate in , develop or lead any of the programming.

The Marr Residence Board mission is to maintain and enhance the historic integrity of the site , provide public access and provide heritage programming.

The Marr Residence board members are responsible for upholding it's mandate. These include but are not limited to :

- : develop policies for :
  - : maintenance of the house and property
  - : board rolls and responsibilities
  - : acquisitions
- : fund raise for restoration projects
- : promote heritage programming
- : submit annual report

Marr Board members are expected to be knowledgeable of the history of the house ,to be involved in all programming by providing input and by being at the house when it is open to the public through out the year.

In this document there are three options proposed:

1- Status Guo

2- option 1 -plus the addition of the following to the Albert Community Centre:

; voting rights for administration member

: 2 year terms

: add second non-voting Community Development Representative

These changes to not impact the Marr Residence Board

3- Disband Albert Community and Marr Residence boards. Proposed consolidation of these to boards.

Some of the advantages:

:Both Dean and Barb on both these boards it would decrease their work load

:It would reduce the number of volunteer board members required.

:Stream line reporting to the city

Some of the disadvantages:

: need to develop a joint mission statement

: need to develop a joint mandate

: loss of experienced board members

:

In my opinion the best option for the Marr Residence is

Option # 1 - Status Guo