Subject: FW: Email - Communication - Tony Zuck - Clarence Avenue and Main Street Intersection Improvements - CK 6295-022-004

From: Web NoReply <<u>web-noreply@Saskatoon.ca</u>> Sent: Monday, March 27, 2023 3:14 PM

To: City Council <<u>City.Council@Saskatoon.ca</u>>

Subject: Email - Communication - Tony Zuck - Clarence Avenue and Main Street Intersection Improvements - CK 6295-022-004

--- Replies to this email will go to

Submitted on Monday, March 27, 2023 - 15:14

Submitted by user: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

# I have read and understand the above statements.: Yes

Date: Monday, March 27, 2023

To: His Worship the Mayor and Members of City Council

Pronouns: He/him/his

First Name: Tony

Last Name: Zuck

## Phonetic spelling of first and/or last name: "Toe Knee"

Phone Number :

Email:

Address: Aird Street

City: Saskatoon

Province: Saskatchewan

Postal Code: s7n

Name of the organization or agency you are representing (if applicable): citizens of my neighborhood

What do you wish to do ?: Submit Comments

# What agenda item do you wish to comment on ?: Proposed changes to Main Street at Clarence AVenue

## Comments:

Dear Mayor and Council Members,

Please do not change the intersection at Main Street and Clarence Avenue. Making changes like this for "safety' are non-sensical. The standard for concern being "Between 2016 and 2021, there were 26 right-angle collisions at the intersection, ..." So, like 1 accident every 3 months? This is cause for concern? I think not.

There has been a steady proliferation of excess stop signs in this neighbourhood, and non-sensical school zone changes and so forth, all seemingly to improve safety without an actual need. It is time to stop tampering with normal traffic flow. All these diversions and unnecessary 4-ways are making routes longer and contributing to pollution, if you stop to consider that. Additionally, folks who appreciate moving through the neighbourhood without diverting all the way to 8th street will just move to the other E-W streets between 12th Street and 8th: Will you be monitoring for an increase in accidents at those locations? All of which offer less visibility to cross Clarence, and so are more hazardous than the Main/Clarence intersection? It seems you rarely consider the idea of unintended consequences. It is not necessary to try to cure everyone's little safety concern, (such as one accident every three months on a street that serves how many cars successfully? Do you measure that ratio?) How many other intersections need, therefore, to be effectively disabled in some way to get below 1 accident every 3 months? I'd suggest you instead say to the I imagine very few complainers: "This is within normal standards of safety and can be left alone. We've already spent \$xx,000's" looking at the situation". Let's improve the situation for pedestrians on the west side of Clarence near the bridge, or something more useful with the \$150,000".

## Will you be submitting a video to be vetted prior to council meeting?: No