

Walter, Penny

Subject: FW: Email - Communication - Chris Friesen - Propose No Drones Over City Property Bylaw - CK 370-1
Attachments: dart_250.jpg; dji_mini.jpg

From: Web NoReply <web-noreply@Saskatoon.ca>
Sent: Friday, August 12, 2022 4:09 PM
To: City Council <City.Council@Saskatoon.ca>
Subject: Email - Communication - Chris Friesen - Propose No Drones Over City Property Bylaw - CK 370-1

--- Replies to this email will go to [REDACTED] ---

Submitted on Friday, August 12, 2022 – 16:08

Submitted by user: [REDACTED]

Submitted values are:

Date Friday, August 12, 2022

To His Worship the Mayor and Members of City Council

First Name Chris

Last Name Friesen

Phone Number [REDACTED]

Email [REDACTED]

Address [REDACTED] O'Brien Crt

City Saskatoon

Province Saskatchewan

Postal Code [REDACTED]

Name of the organization or agency you are representing (if applicable)

Subject comment regarding proposal for modification to the "no drones over city property" bylaw. (Item 6.2.2 in Aug 15 agenda)

Meeting (if known) PUBLIC AGENDA STANDING POLICY COMMITTEE ON PLANNING, DEVELOPMENT AND COMMUNITY SERVICES

Comments

I would like to highlight some additional information regarding my proposal to modify bylaw 5729 which bans the use of remotely-piloted aircraft (i.e. radio-controlled planes and quadcopters) over property controlled by the city.

The airspace within 8km from the airport (which includes the vast majority of the city) is considered "Class D" controlled airspace. Under federal regulations to fly a remotely-piloted aircraft within this airspace the pilot must either have their "advanced" pilot certification (and coordinate with air traffic control) or else the aircraft must weigh under 250 grams. Accordingly, I would expect the vast majority of people wishing to fly aircraft within the proposed sites (that is, those exempt from the "no remotely piloted aircraft" bylaw)

to be flying sub-250g aircraft.

I've attached two photos of remotely-piloted aircraft that represent the upper limit of a sub-250g plane or quadcopter. Most aircraft that would be legally-flyable within city limits would be even smaller than these, and as such would present minimal risk to surrounding property or passers-by.

In addition, federal regulations already prohibit operating a remotely piloted aircraft system in "such a reckless or negligent manner as to endanger or be likely to endanger aviation safety or the safety of any person".

Sincerely,

Chris Friesen

Attachments

[dart_250.jpg](#)

[dji_mini.jpg](#)

Will you be submitting a video to be vetted prior to council meeting? No

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]



