Triple Bottom Line Assessment – Boulevard Gardening Program

Process and Methodology

The Administration used the City of Saskatoon's *Triple Bottom Line (TBL) Decision Making Tool* to comply with *Council Policy C08-001 – Triple Bottom Line*.

When conducting a TBL analysis, it is appropriate to compare and/or evaluate multiple options. As such, the following options were reviewed:

- Option 1 Maintain current boulevard program scope and funding;
- Option 2 Expand program to include centre medians and a centre median application process; or
- Option 3 Expand program as described in Option 2, with the addition of a fee for centre median applications.

In conducting the analysis, the Administration relied on the expertise of the Project Team and Subject Matter Experts from the Parks, Roadways, Engagement, and Sustainability Departments. Best practice research and results from public engagement were also considered in the assessment.

This review intended as a high-level assessment to identify the initiative's environmental, social, economic, and governance outcomes, as well as to identify opportunities to achieve even greater sustainability benefits. The results are intended to support ongoing decision making, rather than be relied upon as a fixed sustainability evaluation.

Caveats and Limitations

Some TBL areas were considered out of scope, including items that were not contingent on and/or influenced by the initiative: Renewable Energy, Sustainable Transportation, Self Sufficiency and Living with Dignity, Innovation, Sustainable Procurement, Roles Responsibilities and Rewards.

The following external factors impacted the initiative's ability to achieve higher TBL outcomes in certain areas:

- Separate boulevards may be used to store snow during winters with high snowfall such as 2020-21. Boulevard gardens may be damaged by snow removal processes, and materials left on the boulevard may in turn damage snow removal equipment. It is unknown to what extent this will be a risk in future years.
- Certain Fusion processes related to monitoring and tracking program compliance are still being refined by the Administration. It is anticipated that as Fusion is implemented, tracking and monitoring processes will be refined.
- Social distancing measures caused by COVID-19 impacted the engagement methods used during public engagement. While the public engagement campaign was well received overall, workshops and stakeholder meetings were not possible to the degree they would be during a regular year.

Results and Findings

Overall, the results of Administration's TBL review indicates that:

- Options 1, 2, and 3 are meeting expectations for the Environmental Health and Integrity indicators.
- Options 1 and 2 are meeting expectations for the Social Equity and Cultural Wellbeing indicators. Option 3 is on track.
- Option 1 is meeting expectations for the Economic Prosperity and Fiscal Responsibility indicators. Options 2 and 3 are on track.
- Options 1, 2, and 3 are on track for the Good Governance indicators.

A summary of results for each TBL principle and indicator are included in the subsequent sections of this document. To provide context, a numerical description of the outcomes is shown in the following table:

TBL Score	TBL Outcome
Below 0%	Not meeting expectations
0-19%	Needs improvement
20-39%	On track
40-59%	Meeting expectations
60-79%	Exceeding expectations
Above 80%	Leading the way

Summary of areas for further/future consideration

Content of the	Rain gardens: These were not included in this iteration of the
Guidelines	Guidelines but may be a future consideration to improve storm water
	outcomes.
	<u>Native Species</u> : Opportunities to support residents in planting native
	species and expand the program to other rights-of-way will be
	considered in future.
Communications	Soil contaminants: Potential soil contaminants are a risk for
and Education	boulevard gardeners producing food in their boulevard. More work is
	needed to understand where soil contaminants exist, and to support
	residents in identifying the risk of contaminants in their gardens.
	Bos and a section. The are will always be a second with a terral control of the terral c
	the Guidelines and overall program are accessible and enjoyable to
	all residents regardless of age, gender, ability, income, culture, or
	race. This is an area for continuous improvement of the program.
	Partnerships: Partnership opportunities should be actively explored
	in future, including partnerships with Community Associations,
	Business Improvement Districts, the University of Saskatchewan, and
	Healthy Yards partners.
	 Funding: External funding opportunities should be actively sought
	after.
Safety and	Risks to gardeners: Working in rights-of-ways can pose a safety
Garden Damage	risk to residents. This risk is considered low on local streets with low
	speed limits. But these risks should be continually monitored, and

- opportunities to reduce the risk should be considered. For example, a regular newsletter with safety tips and information about upcoming road work could be sent to gardeners.
- Risks to workers: The presence of gardens in rights-of-way may pose a low risk to workers who need to navigate around garden materials, structures, and equipment. This risk should be actively tracked by the program going forward and mitigated wherever possible.
- Snow removal: It is currently unknown to what degree boulevard gardens will impact, and be impacted by, snow removal processes. During years with high snowfall such as 2020-21, separate boulevards are used for snow storage. Monitoring any associated risks related to service or utility disruptions should be an area for continuous improvement in the program.

Continuous Improvement

- Program Administration: Project leads will need to communicate regularly with other departments (e.g. customer care centre, Roadways, Parks, Bylaw, etc.) and residents to track Guideline compliance, review bylaw or resident complaints, inform gardeners of upcoming road work when possible, and inform various staff about garden locations. Many processes related to tracking and monitoring are currently being updated during Fusion, so the exact mechanism through which these criteria will be tracked is currently unknown.
- Tracking garden locations, inquiries, and complaints: New garden locations need to be shared with other staff such as Parks and Roadways. Garden related complaints and inquiries should be actively tracked and coordinated by the Administration going forward. Coordination with other work groups such as Roadway Operations, Utilities, Bylaw Enforcement, Parks, and the Customer Care Centre will be needed. Regular reporting of inquiries, incidents, and complaints is recommended, including regular updates to the corporate Knowledge Base.

Principle: Environmental Health and Integrity

TBL Outcome – By Principle:

- Option 1: Meeting expectations (40-59%)
- Option 2: Meeting expectations (40-59%)
- Option 3: Meeting expectations (40-59%)

Indicator	Option 1: Maintain current boulevard program scope and funding	Option 2: Expand program to include centre medians and a centre median application process	Option 3: Expand program as described in Option 2, with the addition of a fee for centre median applications
Conservation of Resources	 Exceeding expectations Program will encourage materials. 	: ge xeriscaping and low water	r use in education
Climate Change Mitigation and Adaptation	and reduce the urban	nodest improvements in soil heat island effect.	carbon sequestration
Green Buildings and Sustainable Land Use	On track: Program supports infil residents with small or	I and density by providing m shaded yards	ore green space to
Healthy Ecosystems	 Meeting expectations Program will enhance green space through gardening and support biodiversity by encouraging native species. Gardening may help provide dust suppression and a buffer between traffic and pedestrians 	 Program will enhance of gardening and support encouraging native special ended in the second of the s	green space through biodiversity by ecies. Tovide dust suppression raffic and pedestrians. In to additional spaces
Clean Air, Water, and Land	Meeting expectations: • Encouraging salt and drought tolerant plants, chemical free gardening, and other healthy yard practices in education materials could help mitigate poor soil conditions on boulevards	 mitigate poor soil condi An expanded program responsible management 	Irought tolerant plants, g, and other healthy ation materials could help itions on boulevards. will support the ent of vacant or y encouraging citizens to

Waste	On track:
Reduction	Program will encourage the use of city compost and mulch to amend
and	garden soil
Diversion	
Storm Water	On track:
Management	 Modest improvements through better soil conditions that will indirectly lead to improved quality of storm water
Sustainable	Exceeding expectations:
Food System	Food production will be encouraged. While the quantity of food grown on a
	boulevard is not significant, the program will lead to greater benefits through
	overall education and promotion of healthy yard practices.

- Rain gardens were not included in this iteration of the Guidelines but will be a future consideration to improve storm water outcomes.
- Potential soil contaminants are a risk for boulevard gardeners producing food in their boulevard. More work is needed to understand where soil contaminants exist, and to support residents in identifying the risk of contaminants in their gardens.

Principle: Social Equity and Cultural Wellbeing

TBL Outcome – By Principle:

- Option 1: Meeting expectations (40-59%)
- Option 2: Meeting expectations (40-59%)
- Option 3: On track (20-39%)

Indicator	Option 1: Maintain current boulevard program scope and funding	Option 2: Expand program to include centre medians and a centre median application process	Option 3: Expand program as described in Option 2, with the addition of a fee for centre median applications
Equity and Opportunity	 On track: There is no charge for the base program. Program materials use plain language and include accessibility criteria. 	 Meeting expectations: There will be no charge for the expanded program. Program materials use plain language and include accessibility criteria where possible. Expanding the Guidelines to new areas will make the program accessible to more residents 	 Needs improvement: The fee for the expanded program will make the program less accessible. Program materials use plain language and include accessibility criteria where possible. Expanding the Guidelines to new areas will make the program accessible to more residents

Diversity	On track:		
and	The program provides increased opportunity for residents to meet their		
Inclusion	neighbors, learn fr	om one another, and build community	
Heritage,	On track:		
Arts, and	 The program will p 	provide a modest opportunity to support or restore cultural	
Culture	heritage by encou	raging and supporting culturally significant and native	
	plant species.		
Health and	Meeting expectations		
Wellbeing		orts a higher quality of life for all by creating more	
		capes and providing gardening opportunities close to	
	home.		
		ourages residents to spend more time outside and	
	interact with their r	· ·	
Safety and	Needs improvement:		
Resiliency		nt-of-way does pose a low risk to personal safety.	
		e these risks have been integrated into the program.	
		lopment incorporated CPTED principles.	
	Raised beds left on rights-of-way over the winter may cause service		
	•	lway clearing. Boulevards are occasionally needed for	
	snow storage. It is currently unknown to what degree high snowfall will impact the program.		
Civic	Meeting	Exceeding Expectations:	
Participation	expectations:	 The program provides opportunities for residents to 	
1 articipation	The program	be engaged with public spaces in additional	
	provides	locations.	
	opportunities for	The Administration will partner with Healthy Yards	
	residents to be	organizations such as the University of Saskatchewan,	
	engaged with	and community associations, to offer additional supports	
	public spaces	for residents to increase participation rates.	
	near their		
	homes.		
Recreation	Exceeding expectation	ons:	
		orts passive recreation through gardening.	
		orts an attractive, vibrant, and lively city through the use	
	of public land for g	ardening.	

- There will always be opportunities to ensure that the Guidelines and overall program are accessible and enjoyable to all residents regardless of age, gender, ability, income, culture, or race. This is an area for continuous improvement of the program.
- Partnership opportunities to encourage program participation should be actively explored in future, including partnerships with Community Associations, Business Improvement Districts, the University of Saskatchewan, and Healthy Yards partners.
- It is currently unknown to what degree boulevard gardens will impact, and be impacted by, snow removal processes. During years with high snowfall such as

- 2020-21, separate boulevards are used for snow storage. Monitoring any associated risks related to service or utility disruptions should be an area for continuous improvement in the program.
- Working in rights-of-ways can pose a low to moderate safety risk to residents.
 This risk is considered low on local streets where traffic speed limits are low. But these risks should be continually monitored, and opportunities to reduce the risk should be considered.

Principle: Economic Prosperity and Fiscal Responsibility

TBL Outcome – By Principle:

- Option 1: Meeting expectations (40-59%)
- Option 2: On track (20-39%)
- Option 3: On track (20-39%)

Indicator	Option 1: Maintain current boulevard program scope and funding	Option 2: Expand program to include centre medians and a centre median application process	Option 3: Expand program as described in Option 2, with the addition of a fee for centre median applications
Financial Planning and Resourcing	on the City is minimal Financial implications complaints, administed Roadways and Parks work plans of existing Needs improvement:	to the City include enforce ering the program, and mai staff. Operational costs w staff.	ement following
Affordability for Users	There is no fee under	S:	Not meeting expectations: • An administrative fee will make the program inaccessible for some users Exceeding expectations: • There is no proposed fee for this option, and additional incentives to offset gardener expenses would be explored.
Support the Local Economy	On track: • Business Improvement	nt Districts were engaged	during the review process.

A a a a t	Mooting overstations	Eveneding evenetations:
Asset Management	 Meeting expectations: Boulevard gardens achieve co-benefits of a city-owned asset including food production, biodiversity, and storm water management. By gardening, residents are improving soil conditions which might not otherwise occur. Potential risks such as invasive weeds and damage to tree roots are mitigated by criteria in the Guidelines. 	 Boulevard gardens achieve co-benefits of a city-owned asset including food production, biodiversity, and storm water management. By gardening, residents are improving soil conditions which might not otherwise occur. Potential risks such as invasive weeds and damage to tree roots are mitigated by criteria in the Guidelines. Expansion of the program to other rights-of-way will further extend these benefits, reducing the degradation of existing assets.
Skills and	On track:	
Training		sue gardening/horticulture education and training nteers in partnership with the University of
Labour	On track:	Needs improvement:
Rights and Employment	Boulevard gardening issues that may be a concern from an OH&S perspective have been addressed by the updated Guidelines such as added criteria for gardening around trees, granular material being disallowed, and removal of temporary structures and materials.	 Allowing gardening in additional rights-of-way such as centre medians has the potential to create a risk for workers who need to navigate around garden structures, equipment, and materials. If gardeners follow the Guidelines this risk should be mitigated. However, since this program is new, the exact risk is currently unknown. Centre median gardens do already exist in the City. The introduction of an application process may mitigate risks to workers. However, this is currently unknown.

 The degree to which garden complaints will change following the adoption of the new program is currently unknown. Garden-related complaints can include complaints about a garden contravening the Guidelines, or a garden being damaged by City processes such as utility or street maintenance. Garden related complaints and inquiries should be actively tracked and coordinated by the Administration going forward.

- The presence of gardens in rights-of-way may pose a low risk to workers who need to navigate around garden materials, structures, and equipment. This risk should be actively tracked by the program going forward and mitigated wherever possible.
- External funding opportunities should be actively sought after.

Principle: Good Governance

TBL Outcome – By Principle:

- Option 1: On track (20-39%)
- Option 2: On track (20-39%)
- Option 3: On track (20-39%)

Indicator	Option 1: Maintain current boulevard program scope and funding	Option 2: Expand program to include centre medians and a centre median application process	Option 3: Expand program as described in Option 2, with the addition of a fee for centre median applications
Ethical and Democratic Governance	programs. The Guidelines are a re not yet been fully imple	pports accessibility and transports accessibility and transports and transports and transports and transports and transports and transports acceptances and transports acceptances.	nsparency of City d strategies that have bon Regional Food
Effective Service Delivery	Meeting expectations: Providing a mechanism for citizens to improve assets does improve service delivery. The program has been developed using public engagement results and has incorporated customer feedback wherever possible	On track: • Providing a mechanism assets does improve so	n for citizens to improve ervice delivery. I developed using public and has incorporated erever possible. Ithe program, there are vill need to be mprovement. These is staff of garden sites, oming road work, and
Education, Communication, Engagement,		: nent campaign was well rec straints caused by COVID-1	

Capacity Building	 The Administrative advisory committee was very engaged and included stakeholders from many different departments. Communications and education campaigns are planned to follow the Council report. The program represents a partnership between the City and community to improve rights-of-way condition. 	
Monitoring,	Needs improvement:	
Reporting and	Project leads will need to communicate regularly with other	
Compliance	 departments (e.g., customer care centre, Roadways, Parks, Bylaw, etc.) to track Guideline compliance, review bylaw or resident complaints, and inform various staff about garden locations. Many processes related to tracking and monitoring are currently being updated during Fusion, so the exact mechanism through which these criteria will be tracked is currently unknown. A record of registered garden sites will be maintained and streamlined with an updated boulevard garden web page. Best practice research included a detailed review of 7 other municipalities with similar programs, and adoption of those best practices where feasible in Saskatoon. 	
Agility and	On track: Exceeding expectations:	
Adaptiveness	 The Guideline update has responded to recent public feedback about gaps and ambiguity in the current program. The Guideline update has responded to recent public feedback about gaps and ambiguity in the current program expansion is in response to significant public interest in gardening in rights-of-way. 	

• Through the expansion of the program, there are still some unknowns that will need to be monitored for continuous improvement. Project leads will need to communicate regularly with other departments (e.g., customer care centre, Roadways, Parks, Bylaw, etc.) and residents to track Guideline compliance, review bylaw or resident complaints, inform gardeners of upcoming road work when possible, and inform various staff about garden locations. Many processes related to tracking and monitoring are currently being updated during Fusion, so the exact mechanism through which these criteria will be tracked is currently unknown.